Opening up Echo Chambers via Optimal Content Recommendation

A. Vendeville^{1,2}, A. Giovanidis³, E. Papanastasiou³ and B. Guedj^{1,2}

¹University College London, ²Inria, ³Sorbonne University

November 8, 2022



What is an echo chamber?

Echo chambers



Weber et al.(2020). #ArsonEmergency and Australia's "Black Summer": Polarisation and Misinformation on Social Media. MISDOOM 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61841-4_11

Echo chambers



Garimella et al.(2016). Quantifying Controversy in Social Media. WSDM '16. https://doi.org/10.1145/2835776.2835792.

Consequences...

- opinion polarisation
- extremism
- ▶ fake news
- ▶ conspiracy theories

Consequences...

- opinion polarisation
- extremism
- ▶ fake news
- ▶ conspiracy theories

Need to open up the echo chambers!

The **#Elysée2017fr** dataset

Fraisier, O., Cabanac, G., Pitarch, Y., Besançon, R., Boughanem, M.: #Elysée2017fr: The 2017 French Presidential Campaign on Twitter. In: Proceedings of the 12th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (2018).

The **#Elysée2017fr** dataset

Fraisier, O., Cabanac, G., Pitarch, Y., Besançon, R., Boughanem, M.: #Elysée2017fr: The 2017 French Presidential Campaign on Twitter. In: Proceedings of the 12th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (2018).

- \blacktriangleright 2.4M tweets
- ▶ 7.7M retweets
- \triangleright 22,853 profiles
- ▶ November 2016 May 2017
- ▶ known political affiliations FI,PS,EM,LR,FN

The **#Elysée2017fr** dataset

Fraisier, O., Cabanac, G., Pitarch, Y., Besançon, R., Boughanem, M.: #Elysée2017fr: The 2017 French Presidential Campaign on Twitter. In: Proceedings of the 12th International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (2018).

- \blacktriangleright 2.4M tweets
- ▶ 7.7M retweets
- \blacktriangleright 22,853 profiles
- ▶ November 2016 May 2017
- ▶ known political affiliations FI,PS,EM,LR,FN

Followers graph: 8,277 users and 975,168 edges



Followers graph

Retweet graph

Echo chambers in **#Elysée2017fr**



Distribution of content users are exposed to.

Echo chambers in **#Elysée2017fr**



Distribution of content users are exposed to.

Not surprising...



Quantifying content diversity

For user n:

$$\Phi_n = \frac{S}{S-1} \sum_{s=1}^{S} p_s^{(n)} (1 - p_s^{(n)}).$$
 (1)

 $p_s^{(n)}\colon$ average proportion of content from party s on the newsfeed of n.

S = 5: number of parties.

Quantifying content diversity

For user n:

$$\Phi_n = \frac{S}{S-1} \sum_{s=1}^{S} p_s^{(n)} (1 - p_s^{(n)}).$$
 (1)

 $p_s^{(n)}$: average proportion of content from party s on the newsfeed of n.

S = 5: number of parties.

How to increase Φ_n with recommendations?

Diffusion model

- \blacktriangleright Strongly connected network of N users.
- Self-posting rates $\lambda_s^{(n)}$.
- ▶ Re-posting rates $\mu^{(n)}$.
- ▶ Newsfeeds of finite size.
- Posts appear on the newsfeeds of followers and replace a random item.
- ▶ Repost uniformly at random amongst newsfeed items.

Giovanidis, A., Baynat, B., Magnien, C., Vendeville, A.: Ranking online social users by their influence. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 29(5), 2198–2214 (2021)

Balance of opinions on newsfeeds

At equilibrium $p_s^{(1)}, \ldots, p_s^{(N)}$ are solution of the following linear system:

for
$$n = 1, ..., N$$
,
 $p_s^{(n)} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda_s^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} p_s^{(k)}).$ (2)

- Assuming the user graph is strongly connected and at least one user has λ > 0, the system has a unique solution.
- Computed via power iteration.

Empirical evaluation



Goal: maximise average diversity of content on the newsfeeds.

Goal: maximise average diversity of content on the newsfeeds.

Method: insert posts into the newsfeeds (recommendation).

Goal: maximise average diversity of content on the newsfeeds.

Method: insert posts into the newsfeeds (recommendation).

- ▶ $x_s^{(n)}$: rate at which we insert posts from party s into n's newsfeed
- ▶ *B* budget: no more than a proportion *B* of recommended content on newsfeeds

Goal: maximise average diversity of content on the newsfeeds.

Method: insert posts into the newsfeeds (recommendation).

- ▶ $x_s^{(n)}$: rate at which we insert posts from party s into n's newsfeed
- ▶ *B* budget: no more than a proportion *B* of recommended content on newsfeeds

Objective: find $x_s^{(n)}$ for all n, s to maximise average diversity under budget B.

Optimisation problem

$$\begin{split} \underset{x,p}{\operatorname{argmax}} & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \Phi_{n} \\ \text{s.t. for all } n, s: \\ & \underbrace{\frac{p_{s}^{(n)}}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}) = x_{s}^{(n)} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)}_{s} + \mu^{(k)} p_{s}^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} x_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1-B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}), \\ & \underbrace{\sum_{s} u_{s$$

Optimisation problem

- ▶ quadratic objective with linear constraints
- ▶ 83K variables
- ▶ 50K constraints
- ▶ Gurobi solver (barrier algorithm)
- ▶ runtime ~ 10 min

Now let's see the results...



17/23



- ▶ Model accuracy vs empirical values...
- ▶ Backfire effect: limit the amount of cross-cutting content?
- enforce equality in the share of recommendations dedicated to each party
- ▶ other methods: content filtering, users recommendations...

Model simulation with preferential reposting



Thank you!

Budget constraint

$$\sum_{s} x_{s}^{(n)} = B\left(\sum_{s} x_{s}^{(n)} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)})\right)$$
(3)
$$\implies \sum_{s} x_{s}^{(n)} = \frac{B}{1 - B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)})$$
(4)

Model equations

$$p_{s}^{(n)} \left(\sum_{s} x_{s}^{(n)} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}) \right) = x_{s}^{(n)} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda_{s}^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} p_{s}^{(k)})$$

$$\implies \frac{p_{s}^{(n)}}{1 - B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)}) = x_{s}^{(n)} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} (\lambda_{s}^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} p_{s}^{(k)})$$

$$(6)$$